

# American Society of Transplant Surgeons

August 31, 2012

John P. Roberts, MD
President
Organ Procurement and Transplantation Network (OPTN)
United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS)
700 North 4th Street
Richmond, VA 23219

Dear Dr. Roberts,

The American Society of Transplant Surgeons (ASTS) appreciates the opportunity to comment on the OPTN Policies Plain Language Rewrite proposal out for public comment through August 31, 2012. While ASTS appreciates the OPTN's efforts to translate the complex wording and organization of OPTN polices into plain language, the draft document fails to achieve this objective and appears to make unwarranted changes to the current policies that we cannot support. We respectfully **oppose** adoption of the draft document in its current form.

Based on OPTN public statements regarding the Policies Plain Language Rewrite, the primary aim was to make the documents more readable and easier for transplant professionals, patients and the public to understand and use and <u>should not alter the substance or meaning of the existing material</u> (emphasis added). While this is laudable in theory, it is difficult to utilize plain language in describing complex medical policies that carry penalties if not strictly adhered to. Given the consequences of an adverse OPTN finding, the emphasis should be on clarity as opposed to plain language. Priority should be placed on precise definitions, clear terminology, and logical sequencing that do not change the meaning and requirements of the current policies. If adopted in their present form, the policies have the potential to obfuscate the procedures to be used by transplant centers, organ procurement organizations and others in the organ donation and transplantation process.

Our review of the draft document has generated significant concerns based on the inclusion of seemingly new or revised requirements while, at the same time, excluding relevant information from the current policies. There are also areas where the changes create too much of a prescriptive tone compared to the current policies.

National Office 2461 South Clark Street Suite 640 Arlington, VA 22202 Phone: 703 414-7870 Fax: 703 414-7874 asts@asts.org www.ASTS.org

#### President

Kim M. Olthoff, MD University of Pennsylvania Department of Surgery 3400 Spruce Street - 2 Dulles Philadelphia, PA 19104 Phone: 215 662-6136 Fax: 215 662-2244 kim.olthoff@uphs.upenn.edu

# President-Elect

Alan N. Langnas, DO University of Nebraska 983285 Nebraska Medical Center Omaha, NE 68198-3285 Phone: 402 559-8390 Fax: 402 559-3434 alangnas@unmc.edu

### Secretary

Charles M. Miller, MD Cleveland Clinic Foundation 9500 Euclid Ave. Mail Code A-110 Cleveland, OH 44195 Phone: 216 445-2381 Fax: 216 444-9375 millerc8@ccf.org

#### Treasurer

Timothy L. Pruett, MD University of Minnesota Department of Surgery 420 Delaware Street SE MMC 195 Minneapolis, MN 55455

Immediate Past President Mitchell L. Henry, MD

Past President Michael M. Abecassis, MD, MBA

Councilors-at-Large Stuart M. Flechner, MD David C. Mulligan, MD Lewis W. Teperman, MD Marwan S. Abouljoud, MD Sandy Feng, MD, PhD John C. Magee, MD Jean C. Emond, MD Abhinav Humar, MD Lloyd E. Ratner, MD, MPH

Executive Director Kimberly Gifford, MBA Kim.gifford@asts.org For example, in proposed policy 19.1, *Requests for Data*, there is additional language, when compared to current policy 9, *Releases of Information to the Public*. It states "If a requestor does not comply with any requirements of Policy 19: *Release of Data*, then the OPTN Contractor may withhold additional data from future data requests." While we may agree that this is appropriate, it appears to be an <u>addition</u> to the policy and not simply a plain language rewrite as intended.

Additionally, proposed policy 2.5(A), *HIV Screening*, appears to <u>revise</u> the current requirement (2.2.3.3 and 2.2.3.4) for exceptions for cases when HIV testing cannot be completed prior to transplant. In cases involving non-renal organs, current policy allows the transplantation of an organ which has not been tested for HIV when an "extreme medical emergency" warrants such action. The proposed rewrite requires the "Host OPO to have initiated an HIV screening." Again, such revisions appear to go beyond the scope of the plain language.

In proposed policy 1.3 (B), *Variance Applications*, the 75% threshold for affirmative support by the proposed members of a variance (currently in policy 3.4.8.2) has been <u>excluded</u>. Instead the proposed language states "If unanimous support does not exist, the application must include statements explaining the opposition from each participating Member." The exclusion of the threshold, in our opinion, creates a policy change which should be vetted through the normal policy processes.

Within Section 1.2, *Definitions*, we are concerned that the exclusionary list under *Eligible Donor* creates too much of a prescriptive tone. It states that the potential donor must not have pneumonia or any fungal infection. The intent of the original policy, was to give examples of guidelines that may be a relative contraindication but the proposed rewrite clear makes a potential donor with pneumonia ineligible.

These are but a few of the drafted provisions that are not appropriately "translated" and are included in the Policies Plain Language Rewrite.

For these reasons, and others, we oppose this policy proposal and respectfully request that the OPTN refrain from adopting the Policies Plain Language Rewrite in its present form. If you wish, we can provide a more detailed review with specific recommendations. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this proposal. Please do not hesitate to contact me or Kim Gifford, ASTS Executive Director, if you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely yours,

Kim M. Olthoff, MD

Mahajus

President